

Discussion of  
**ECB Euro Liquidity Lines**

by S. Albrizio, I. Kataryniuk, L. Molina, J. Schafer

A. Cesa-Bianchi\*

Bank of England, CEPR, and CfM

**Evaluating the monetary-policy toolkit: lessons for the future**

Sveriges Riksbank - September 1, 2022

\*The views expressed here do not necessarily represent those of the Bank of England or of any of its Committees.

- ▶ What are the effects of central bank swap lines?

# Intro

- ▶ What are the effects of central bank swap lines?
- ▶ Important and yet to be fully understood policy tool

- ▶ What are the effects of central bank swap lines?
- ▶ Important and yet to be fully understood policy tool
- ▶ This paper brings in the euro perspective → Nice!
  - \* New theoretical analysis of spillbacks
  - \* New evidence based on ECB euro liquidity lines

# My comments

[# 1] **The model**

[# 2] **Testing the mechanism**

[# 3] **The empirical specification**

[# 4] **Making most of the data**

## [ # ] The Model (as I understand it)

Recipient bank	
Assets	Liabilities
$L_t^R$ (€)	$C_t^R$ (€)
	$B_t^R$ (LC)

Euro area bank	
Assets	Liabilities
$C_t^R$ (€)	$D_t$ (€)
	$E_t$ (€)

- ▶ Stylized two-country model with currency-mismatched recipient banks and refinancing risk

## [ # ] The Model (as I understand it)

Recipient bank		Euro area bank	
Assets	Liabilities	Assets	Liabilities
$L_t^R$ (€)	$C_t^R$ (€)	$C_t^R$ (€)	$D_t$ (€)
	$B_t^R$ (LC)		$E_t$ (€)

- ▶ Stylized two-country model with currency-mismatched recipient banks and refinancing risk
  - \* Cross-border lending

## [#] The Model (as I understand it)

Recipient bank	
Assets	Liabilities
$L_t^R$ (€)	$C_t^R$ (€) $B_t^R$ (LC)

Euro area bank	
Assets	Liabilities
$C_t^R$ (€)	$D_t$ (€) $E_t$ (€)

- ▶ Stylized two-country model with currency-mismatched recipient banks and refinancing risk
  - \* Cross-border lending
  - \* Currency mismatched recipient banks' balance sheets

# [#] The Model (as I understand it)

Recipient bank	
Assets	Liabilities
$L_t^R$ (€)	$C_t^R$ (€)
	$B_t^R$ (LC)

Matures  
in  $t+2$

Euro area bank	
Assets	Liabilities
$C_t^R$ (€)	$D_t$ (€)
	$E_t$ (€)

Matures  
in  $t+1$

- ▶ Stylized two-country model with currency-mismatched recipient banks and refinancing risk
  - \* Cross-border lending
  - \* Currency mismatched balance sheets
  - \* Refinancing risk

## [#] The Model (as I understand it)

Recipient bank	
Assets	Liabilities
$L_t^R$ (€)	$C_t^R$ (€)
	$B_t^R$ (LC)

Euro area bank	
Assets	Liabilities
$C_t^R$ (€)	$D_t$ (€)
	$E_t$ (€)

- ▶ In  $t + 1$  the recipient bank has to refinance using  $B^R$  hedging FX risk at cost  $b_{t+1}$

## [#] The Model (as I understand it)

Recipient bank	
Assets	Liabilities
$L_t^R$ (€)	$C_t^R$ (€)
	$B_t^R$ (LC)

Euro area bank	
Assets	Liabilities
$C_t^R$ (€)	$D_t$ (€)
	$E_t$ (€)

- ▶ In  $t + 1$  the recipient bank has to refinance using  $B^R$  hedging FX risk at cost  $b_{t+1}$
- ▶ If refinancing cost is too high, the recipient bank defaults

## [#] The Model (as I understand it)

Recipient bank	
Assets	Liabilities
$L_t^R$ (€)	$C_t^R$ (€)
	$B_t^R$ (LC)

Euro area bank	
Assets	Liabilities
$C_t^R$ (€)	$D_t$ (€)
	$E_t$ (€)

- ▶ In  $t + 1$  the recipient bank has to refinance using  $B^R$  hedging FX risk at cost  $b_{t+1}$
- ▶ If refinancing cost is too high, the recipient bank defaults
- ▶ By lowering the FX basis, liquidity lines
  - \* Lower the recipient bank's default probability
  - \* Increase the euro area bank's equity value

## [ # ] The Model (as I understand it)

Recipient bank	
Assets	Liabilities
$L_t^R$ (€)	$C_t^R$ (€)
	$B_t^R$ (LC)

Euro area bank	
Assets	Liabilities
$C_t^R$ (€)	$D_t$ (€)
	$E_t$ (€)

### ► Some questions

## [#] The Model (as I understand it)

Recipient bank	
Assets	Liabilities
$L_t^R$ (€)	$C_t^R$ (€)
	$B_t^R$ (LC)

Euro area bank	
Assets	Liabilities
$C_t^R$ (€)	$D_t$ (€)
	$E_t$ (€)

### ► Some questions

- \* In which balance sheet does  $L_t^R$  end up being?

## [#] The Model (as I understand it)

Recipient bank	
Assets	Liabilities
$L_t^R$ (€)	$C_t^R$ (€)
	$B_t^R$ (LC)

Euro area bank	
Assets	Liabilities
$C_t^R$ (€)	$D_t$ (€)
	$E_t$ (€)

### ► Some questions

- \* In which balance sheet does  $L_t^R$  end up being?
- \* Why no recipient bank equity?

# [#] The Model (as I understand it)

Recipient bank	
Assets	Liabilities
$L_t^R$ (€)	$C_t^R$ (€)
	$B_t^R$ (LC)

Euro area bank	
Assets	Liabilities
$C_t^R$ (€)	$D_t$ (€)
	$E_t$ (€)

## ► Some questions

- \* In which balance sheet does  $L_t^R$  end up being?
- \* Why no recipient bank equity?
- \* Why can't the recipient bank roll over using  $C_{t+1}^R$

# [#] The Model (as I understand it)

Recipient bank	
Assets	Liabilities
$L_t^R$ (€)	$C_t^R$ (€)
	$B_t^R$ (LC)

Euro area bank	
Assets	Liabilities
$C_t^R$ (€)	$D_t$ (€)
	$E_t$ (€)

## ► Some questions

- \* In which balance sheet does  $L_t^R$  end up being?
- \* Why no recipient bank equity?
- \* Why can't the recipient bank roll over using  $C_{t+1}^R$
- \* Where is risk? Is default probability assumed to 1?

## [# 2] Testing the mechanism(s)

### ▶ Key mechanism

- \* Liquidity lines lower CIP deviations [Bahaj and Reis (2022)]
- \* Liquidity lines reduce recipient-country banks' default probability [This paper]

## [# 2] Testing the mechanism(s)

### ▶ **Key mechanism**

- \* Liquidity lines lower CIP deviations [Bahaj and Reis (2022)]
- \* Liquidity lines reduce recipient-country banks' default probability [This paper]

### ▶ **Suggestion** Provide direct evidence in favour of proposed channel in empirical exercise

- \* Recipient banks' CDS spreads

## [# 2] Testing the mechanism(s)

### ▶ **Key mechanism**

- \* Liquidity lines lower CIP deviations [Bahaj and Reis (2022)]
- \* Liquidity lines reduce recipient-country banks' default probability [This paper]

### ▶ **Suggestion** Other channels may be at work [Cesa-Bianchi, Eguren-Martin, Ferrero (2022)]

- \* Recipient country's equity prices and non-financial credit spreads

## [# 3] The empirical specification

- ▶ **This paper approach** Residualized high-frequency DiD

## [# 3] The empirical specification

► **This paper approach** Residualized high-frequency DiD

- \* Residualize currency  $i$ 's FX basis using a set of country-specific controls

$$basis_{it} = \alpha_j + \beta\Omega_{it} + res_{it}$$

## [# 3] The empirical specification

### ► **This paper approach** Residualized high-frequency DiD

- \* Residualize currency  $i$ 's FX basis using a set of country-specific controls

$$basis_{it} = \alpha_j + \beta\Omega_{it} + res_{it}$$

- \* Compare affected vs. non-affected currencies in narrow window around announcement

$$res_{i,t,e} = \mu_{i,e} + \beta_1(T_{i,e} \times Post_t) + \Lambda X_t + other\ controls + u_{i,t,e}$$

## [# 3] The empirical specification

► **This paper approach** Residualized high-frequency DiD

- \* Residualize currency  $i$ 's FX basis using a set of country-specific controls

$$basis_{it} = \alpha_j + \beta \Omega_{it} + res_{it}$$

- \* Compare affected vs. non-affected currencies in narrow window around announcement

$$res_{i,t,e} = \mu_{i,e} + \beta_1 (T_{i,e} \times Post_t) + \Lambda X_t + other\ controls + u_{i,t,e}$$

► **Question** Why the residualized regression approach (instead of going one-step)?

## [# 3] The empirical specification

### ► **This paper approach** Residualized high-frequency DiD

- \* Residualize currency  $i$ 's FX basis using a set of country-specific controls

$$basis_{it} = \alpha_j + \beta \Omega_{it} + res_{it}$$

- \* Compare affected vs. non-affected currencies in narrow window around announcement

$$res_{i,t,e} = \mu_{i,e} + \beta_1 (T_{i,e} \times Post_t) + \Lambda X_t + other\ controls + u_{i,t,e}$$

### ► **Question** Why the residualized regression approach (instead of going one-step)?

- [1] Generated regressor uncertainty
- [2] Pollutes exercise on omitted global events

## [# 4] Making most of the data

- ▶ Not many events, exploit cross-section of affected vs. non-affected currencies
  - \* 24 eligible liquidity line announcements, 15 countries

## [# 4] Making most of the data

- ▶ Not many events, exploit cross-section of affected vs. non-affected currencies
  - \* 24 eligible liquidity line announcements, 15 countries
- ▶ Sample selection
  - [1] G10 countries to avoid confounding effects of reciprocity
  - [2] Countries targeted by the lines but use the euro as main currency (e.g. San Marino)
  - [3] North Macedonia, Romania, and Albania due to data limitation for the construction of FX basis
  - [4] Latvia, since it was included in the ECB press releases
- ▶ **Final sample** 9 liquidity line announcements, 7 countries...

## [# 4] Making most of the data

- ▶ Not many events, exploit cross-section of affected vs. non-affected currencies
  - \* 24 eligible liquidity line announcements, 15 countries
- ▶ Sample selection
  - [1] G10 countries to avoid confounding effects of reciprocity
  - [2] Countries targeted by the lines but use the euro as main currency (e.g. San Marino)
  - [3] North Macedonia, Romania, and Albania due to data limitation for the construction of FX basis
  - [4] Latvia, since it was included in the ECB press releases
- ▶ **Suggestions**
  - \* No need for [2] and [3] for recipient country's CDS, equities, spreads, etc
  - \* 14 eligible announcements in the 2020 to 2022 sample...

# In sum

- ▶ Great paper → Advances our knowledge on an important policy tool
- ▶ My suggestions
  - \* Tighten the model exposition
  - \* Provide more direct evidence on the proposed mechanism
  - \* Robustify empirical analysis

Discussion of  
**ECB Euro Liquidity Lines**

by S. Albrizio, I. Kataryniuk, L. Molina, J. Schafer

A. Cesa-Bianchi\*

Bank of England, CEPR, and CfM

**Evaluating the monetary-policy toolkit: lessons for the future**

Sveriges Riksbank - September 1, 2022

\*The views expressed here do not necessarily represent those of the Bank of England or of any of its Committees.